

**PCC.II/RES.17 (IV-98)<sup>1</sup>**

**ON SUBMITTING JOINT PROPOSALS/DOCUMENTS TO THE ITU-R**

The Fourth Meeting of the Permanent Consultative Committee II: Broadcasting,

**CONSIDERING:**

That there is a need for a procedure to submit joint documents to the ITU-R, especially for World Conferences.

**RECOGNIZING:**

That PCC.II Member States have identified the benefits of submitting joint documents to the ITU-R.

**RESOLVES:**

That PCC.II adopt the procedure attached in the Annex for submitting joint documents to the ITU-R.<sup>2</sup>

**INSTRUCTS THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT:**

1. To distribute proposals/documents, identified by PCC.II as candidate proposals/documents for joint submission to the ITU, to CITELE administrations as provided for in the attached annex; and
2. To forward joint Inter-American proposals to appropriate ITU authorities in accordance with the attached annex and ITU procedures.

**ANNEX**

**Procedures for Submitting joint Proposals/documents to the ITU-R**

1. In preparatory meetings held before the commencement of an ITU-R meeting, PCC.II will identify draft joint proposals/documents that were presented to the Plenary and achieved consensus. The names of all CITELE members will appear in square brackets on the front heading of these proposals/documents. The fact that all CITELE administrations names appear on draft proposals/documents does not indicate their acceptance of these proposals/documents.
2. In order to appear as a supporting administration on a final Inter-American Proposal or other ITU-R document, administrations may request during a PCC.II meeting that their country name be placed on the final document. In such cases, the administrations name will

---

<sup>1</sup> MOD Document PCC.II/doc.175/98.

<sup>2</sup> The procedure is the same as the one adopted by PCC.III, resolution PCC.III/RES.65 (X-98).

be removed from square brackets and placed below those names still appearing in square brackets.

3. Alternatively, administrations participating in the PCC.II meetings may request the Executive Secretariat within two weeks after the close of the meeting to remove their name from square brackets and place it with other administrations that have approved the document.
4. The CITELE Executive Secretariat, on behalf of PCC.II, will distribute the Draft Proposals/Documents to all CITELE Administrations two weeks after the close of the PCC.II meeting for their review.
5. The Administration who originates the draft proposal/document shall undertake the task of coordinating and encouraging support of the document. In the event that more than one administration is the originator, then it should be decided at the PCC.II meeting when the proposal is first introduced which administration will undertake the role of coordinator.
6. Administrations may request the Executive Secretariat to remove the square brackets from their country name, or to simply remove their name if they do not wish to support a particular proposal/document.
7. The names of those administrations not responding to the Executive Secretariat before the Inter- American Proposals/Documents are forwarded to the ITU, will be removed.
8. At least five administrations must support a document in order for it to be forwarded to the ITU as an Inter- American Proposal/Document.
9. The Executive Secretariat will forward all approved Inter-American Proposals/Documents to ITU-R meetings in a timely fashion in accordance with the ITU rules of procedure.
10. Administrations not appearing on the Inter-American Proposal/ Document transmitted by the CITELE Executive Secretariat to the ITU will be able to notify the Executive Secretariat up until two weeks before the start of an ITU-R meeting of their support and their name will be forwarded to the ITU to be included in a corrigendum to the Inter-American Proposal/Document.
11. Moreover, any country may join in the support of an Inter-American proposal during the ITU-R meeting by submitting their acceptance.
12. Communications between Administrations shall take place through designated contact points identified to CITELE.
13. The authorization process to be used by an administration is a matter to be determined by the administration itself.